

Saturday 23 Feb 1889.

Revisa

As to Mr. Shera's application
I was Kaiwhakahaere

I proposed name No. 3 be inserted
but her mother and her elder sister objected
since the matter has been talked over
all have agreed to allow her name to
appear in the list for Oamaru No. 4

She is entitled to be in No. 3 but I
am with Kaiwhakahaere of that piece

Other names should have been inserted
and some omitted but so great was the
confusion that I had no time to
amend the lists that were put in

Tama Ngahiraka &
Le Raiti Kaitiaki
are the two that should have been
inserted in No. 4.

Tauha Nikora

As to No. 3

Mr. Shera - The list of names is in
a state of suspense. I told Mr. Litch-
field that when a rehearing of the
whole case had been had the list of
names could be considered but it was no
use entering on the question now. Her
case will receive consideration some evening
with hope called Ngatepahi. I wish a
rehearing of the (1) whole block

OFF 22/1/45

Lella Sawhito

For Ngatimohere before No 5 portion
All the particulars as to the block
boundaries & area in person of the court
As to statements of Teuira & Kema
and boundaries they pointed out they
have all been taken into consideration
by the former judge. I protest against
any fresh hearing taking place

As to omission of names I am not
aware whether those whose names were
omitted to ever applied to find to have
them inserted.

Judge Wilson did not allow a
name to appear in more than one
list. The people were all Whaka
tohea - some people withheld their
names in consequence of the statement
of the judge

As to complaint of Hoera, No 6
was given to Ngaiterangi and No 7
to Ngaitawoko who were represented
by Heremia. The judge drew an
arbitrary line. No 7 Haine follows to Ngai
tawoko. I considered it was not proper
to insert names now complained of
in No 5.

There was no reference
in the Evidence to this arbitrary line
I considered the decision had been
made on fairly equitable principles.

copy 1/1/50

Heremia Koroa for Ngaitamoko

Two women applied to have
a separate list for smaller parties
of No 5 (Op. Min 13th vol III p 364)
Hakea Takemana } names
Telia }

Neither Tella Taurito nor Motue w^d
consent. They were Koroa and
K. nephew. The Koroa area sub-
division of Ngaitamoko.

As to No 6
My application is not confined to No
6 but more especially with regard
to No 5.

No 7 was awarded to Ngaitamoko
Ngaitakautahi, another sub-division
of Ngaitamoko. In No 5 smaller
parties the only part abnormal there
was any disagreement no names
of any people were admitted.

My name appears in 2, 3, 4 &
Judge Wilson made no objection
My name w^d not have appeared in
No 4 if Mr Wilson had not expressed
a desire that it sh^d be inserted.

My application is more especially
directed to No 5 in consequence of the
disqualification of my people and
the exclusion of their names from
the list.

Mei-Tamirava

Ngahira subⁿ of Whaka
thea:

As to No 5 smaller part
When the case were before Meff
it was agreed that the cases of
Ngatera and Ngaturuhia shd be
represented by Ngaturuhia. When
list appeared we found our
names had been left out. There
was great confusion at the time I
hadn't time to go into the question
I was interested in other blocks.

If the other parties are willing
to return names I must press for
a rehearing.

The division on the plan was
partly made by the natives partly
by the Judge himself -

Taku claims the whole block
he claims also Tahora. That is his
practice -

Heremia Sheer

I have further enclosed
 Mr Wilson's volume I should
 apply to the Kaiwhakabae
 to insert ~~the~~ my name. They
 all agreed except the conduct
 of No 5. My name was
 admitted by the conductors not by
 me forth.